IOOpt: Automatic Derivation of I/O Complexity Bounds for Affine Programs

Auguste Olivry Guillaume looss Nicolas Tollenaere Atanas Rountev P. Sadayappan Fabrice Rastello June 2021 Arithmetic complexity = # of operations



 Arithmetic complexity = # of operations



 Arithmetic complexity = # of operations



- Arithmetic complexity = # of operations
- I/O cost (schedule-dependent) = amount of data moved between fast and slow memory



- Arithmetic complexity = # of operations
- I/O cost (schedule-dependent) = amount of data moved between fast and slow memory
- I/O complexity = minimum cost over all schedules



Lower and Upper Bounds



IOLB (*PLDI '20*) Automated lower bound computation

Automated Derivation of Parametric Data Movement Lower Bounds for Affine Programs'

Auguster Olicoy tear Installe Alpe. 1999, Augusta Landa Alpe.		Julies Langes Transition of the second Research 1990		
Losis Xiel/Prochet Halosis New Testanty Far makes (19, 194	P Aude Turners National Contents	14004 14704 1.17104	Painten Romelle Your Samelle Alpe- Sens, 1988, consulte AlP Alto YOUTTOINER, Pages	
Alternation of particular laws in long to provide the completion of source laws of a long to provide the completion of source laws of the laws of the completion of the laws of the manual of the completions of the law	artist o m mine, toro- artist pro- d'artist pro- cortist des factors to	Andreas and an analysis of a statements of a statements of a statements of a statements of	en des Disergiers elemented en aparter de la company elemente - Verez d'empleter - Despessi patre de la company elemente restre en parter de la company elemente restre en parter restre en parter	
The framework produced requirements of the framework of the second second second second control of the second second second second second the second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second second		Internation The partners of Internation Internatinternation Internation	visa vo topol 4 spontove ad kito terestete strategie ad the second second terestete spinor application and the terestete spinor application and the terestete spinor and the second second terestete spinor and the second	
(a) of the summing impacts on the splitteners interpreterming the splitteners of the splitteners and a power in summary of the splitteners of explicitly. of power based theory is a splitteners, of power by the splitteners of the splitteners, of power by the splitteners of the splitteners of the splitteners of the splitteners of the splitteners of the splitteners of header. All is primary to stable, power to splitteners of header, and its primary constants. (For extinct or of header to splitteners of stable).	a di base titi terrestitei te gati bas far matrica de Tiple terre destiti de ter far españo de agati ba	tin dynthe printeres it printeres it printeres it different and till the family reprinter it is grant it is grant it	replete services, and the characteristic products for an end of the standard products of the standard or distance of the standard the standard of the standard products and the standard of the standard products and the standard distance of the standard products and the standard distance of the standard products and the standard distance of the standard products and the standard distance the standard distance and the standard distance the standard distance and the standard distance whether the standard standard distance the standard distance and the standard distance and the standard distance the standard distance distance and the standard distance distance distance distance distance and the standard distance d	
The set of the comparison of a particular by the T. Y. Hartmann of a set of the transmission of the set of the		a cracal Nag September (1995) September (1995) Al tagent for pro- tice (1995) and replacements of the control of the replacements	In the second second second is the second like index of the second second is the second like of the second second second second second second distance of the production of second second second distance of the second sec	



Improvement	of	the	lower	bound
algorithm				

 Automated upper bound derivation (IOUB)

			the second second		
Part Pallan, 171 KM.		10.0	Same 1747, contactly Pdf 1		
			Water Control No. Parameter		
Mart .		-	And the standards whether		
	ALCON. MA		Allowed and the local lines.		
	an here an	hitangin - Ki Ajarah dipaté	enty of competition Re http://www.autitiong		
	ettie Arts				
temets that competitions quality algorithe interesteds maximum or marks a produk-	alara la sia	Reported Encourses respects; Filterachen and an Affre program			
Partnerski gerstand raphetty af an d	particular and the second				
	authors 6	and a starting			
	and the state				
induced y Mont on and could a	Franks In				
a chable of the spectrum is it to the later to					
	-				
	-	the set in particular			
a tarboutes 1 in France 5 Kings in the "Printer	and and a second				
the second of the second se	- man				
panets house house discussion approach	Seath 1				
) of the insecond physick on the lightness of	theory and				
er bank Partell opening reduction in	the to the				
and the foll of the set of the se	array for pro-				
ment of past past day to make many	A-1040 A.4				
	status to	a maringhenes	the state adjaces		
in the encode which we make worker	dentes				
	Andrea Mil				
A A REAL PROPERTY AND A REAL PROPERTY.	2				
The P. S. Billion W.	~				
	tanta 10				
	Calence and		A second a log second		

Automated Derivation of Parametric Data Movement Lower Bounds for Affine Programs I/O complexity upper bound \Leftrightarrow Cost of a particular valid schedule

Untiled matrix multiplication

Tiled matrix multiplication

$$I/O$$
 cost: $O(\frac{N^3}{\sqrt{S}})$

I/O cost: $O(N^3)$

 \rightarrow How to automatically compute I/O cost for a given schedule?





C[i][j] += A[i][k] * B[k][j];





for(i = 0; i < Ni; i++)
for(j = 0; j < Nj; j++)
for(k = 0; k < Nk; k++)
C[i][j] += A[i][k] * B[k][j];</pre>



```
for(i1 = 0; i1 < Ni; i1+=Ti)
for(j1 = 0; j1 < Nj; j1+=Tj)
for(k = 0; k < Nk; k++)
for(i = i1; i < i1+Ti; i++)
for(j = j1; j < j1+Tj; j++)
C[1](j) += A[1](k] * B[k][j];</pre>
```



$$\{(i, j, k), (i, k, j), (k, j, i)\}$$

$$\begin{split} IO &= N_i N_j N_k \left(\frac{1}{T_i} + \frac{1}{T_j} + \frac{1}{N_k} \right) \\ T_i T_j + T_i + T_j &\leq S \end{split}$$



for(i = 0; i < Ni; i++)
for(j = 0; j < Nj; j++)
for(k = 0; k < Nk; k++)
C[i][j] += A[i][k] * B[k][j];</pre>

$$\{(i, j, k), (i, k, j), (k, j, i)\}$$

$$IO = N_i N_j N_k \left(\frac{1}{T_i} + \frac{1}{T_j} + \frac{1}{N_k} \right)$$
$$T_i T_j + T_i + T_j \le S$$

$$UB = N_i N_j \left(\frac{2N_k}{\sqrt{S+1}-1} + 1 \right)$$

Matrix multiplication I/O complexity



In the paper: Analytical results on several convolutions (Yolo9000) and tensor contractions (TCCG), with matching lower and upper bounds

Thank you!